AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION:

Please amend the specification as follows:

Please replace the paragraph beginning at line 7 on page 4 with the following amended paragraph:

--(a) the nucleotide sequence as set forth in residues 73 to 601 582 in SEQ ID NO:1;--

Please replace the paragraph beginning at line 11 on page 4 with the following amended paragraph:

--(c) the nucleotide sequence as set forth in residues 73 to 451 432 in SEQ ID NO:1;--

Please replace the paragraph beginning at line 15 on page 4 with the following amended paragraph:

--(e) the nucleotide sequence as set forth in residues 485 466 to 820 801 in SEQ ID NO:1;--

Please replace the paragraph beginning at line 18 on page 98 with the following amended paragraph:

--Apo-A-I is the HS inhibitor of T cell-signaling of monocytes. To determine whether apo-A-I displayed the inhibitory activity, commercially available, purified apo-A-I (Sigma Fine Chemicals, St. Louis, MO) was tested. Apo-A-I inhibited the production of both TNF-α and IL-1β in THP-1 cells activated by membranes of HUT-78 cells in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6A 7A). As already evident from Fig. 3, TNF-α production was less inhibited than IL-1β production. Since the apo-A-I preparation contained 3% unidentified contaminants (according to the supplier), it had to be ascertained that the

inhibition was indeed due to apo-A-I. Proteins from delipidated HDL were subjected to preparative SDS-PAGE. After copper-straining staining, bands (M_c: 56,000-66,000, 50,000, 28,000, and 18,000) were excised and electroeluted. The inhibitory activity was recovered in the $M_r = 28,000$ and $M_r = 18,000$ bands (Fig. 67, B and C). The double M_r =56,000-66,000 band, which contained apo-A-I aggregates as assessed by Western blotting, did not show significant inhibitory activity (not shown). Production of both IL-1B and TNF-α was inhibited by the electroeluted proteins. TNF-α was inhibited to a lower extent than IL-1B, confirming the results from Fig. 2. All inhibitory fractions contained apo-A-I as demonstrated by Western blot analysis (Fig. 6E 7E), pointing to apo-A-I as the inhibitor of T cell-signaling of monocytes. Indeed, it is very unlikely that another HDL apolipoprotein would display the same behavior as apo-A-I in terms of size of protein and proteolytic fragment (Fig. 6E 7E, lanes a and b). Alternatively, proteins from delipidated HDL were subjected to gel filtration on Superdex S75. Pooled fractions corresponding to $M_r = 28,000 \pm 10,000$ displayed the inhibitory activity (Fig. 6D 7D). These fractions contained apo-A-I as determined by Western blot analysis (Fig. 6E 7E, lane c), further confirming that apo-A-I was the inhibitor.--

Please replace the paragraph beginning at line 10 on page 99 with the following amended paragraph:

--HDL interact with stimulated T cells through apo-A-I binding. To determine whether the inhibitory activity of HDL was due to its potential binding to stimulated T cell membranes or to THP-1 cells, either THP-1 cells or membranes isolated from stimulated HUT-78 cells were preincubated in the presence or absence of FCS, HS, or isolated HDL. After washing, the residual activation capacity of membranes from

stimulated HUT-78 cells on THP-1 cells was assessed. The inhibition of IL-1 β production was observed only when membranes of stimulated HUT-78 cells were incubated with HS or HDL (Fig. 7A 6A). Incubation of THP-1 cells with either FCS, HS, or HDL did not appear to inhibit the production of IL-1 β (Fig. 7A 6A). These results demonstrate that the inhibitory activity of HS and HDL was mainly directed to the activating factor(s) expressed at the surface of stimulated T cells.--

Please replace the paragraph beginning at line 21 on page 99 with the following amended paragraph:

--To further confirm that the inhibitory factor(s) interacted with surface factors on stimulated T cells, isolated HDL was labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and its binding to different cell types assessed by flow cytometry. No binding of FITC-HDL was observed on THP-1 cells (Fig. 7B 6B), whereas fluorescence of monocytes was slightly enhanced when incubated with FITC-HDL as compared to unconjugated FITC control (Fig. 7G 6C). A low level of binding of FITC-HDL to unstimulted HUT-78 cells was observed, whereas stimulated HUT-78 cells bound FITC-HDL, displaying 2 fluorescent peaks, suggesting the presence of at least 2 different HDL binding sites (Fig. 7 6, D and E). At a lower FITC-HDL concentration, only one fluorescent peak was observed. In the presence of anti-apo-A-I antibodies, a shift toward lower fluorescence intensity was observed, demonstrating that HDL interacted with stimulated T cells via apo-A-I specific binding (Fig. 7F 6F). Together these results show that HDL interacted preferentially with stimulated T cells, implying that the inhibitory activity involving apo-A-I was directed to surface factors on T cells.--